AWARDS JUDGING SHEET
Practice Academe Innovative Collaboration Award

ENTRY NAME:

CRITERIA

Describes multidisciplinary approach including clinical practice, academe, and research

- □ □ □ □ □ □ ✗ x3 = /15
- 5 4 3 2 1 NA

Cites at least three resources used in developing/formulating the collaboration

- □ □ □ □ □ □ ✗ x3 = /15
- 5 4 3 2 1 NA

Describes initial obstacles/challenges to the collaboration and how these were overcome

- □ □ □ □ □ □ ✗ x2 = /10
- 5 4 3 2 1 NA

Describes tipping points that moved the collaboration in a negative or positive direction and how these were resolved

- □ □ □ □ □ □ ✗ x2 = /10
- 5 4 3 2 1 NA

Explains how resources were allocated

- Shared appointments
- Support for leadership and staff development
- Support for continuing education

- □ □ □ □ □ □ ✗ x2 = /10
- 5 4 3 2 1 NA

RATING SCALE

5 = Outstanding achievement of criteria
4 = Notable achievement of criteria
3 = Adequate achievement of criteria
2 = Fair achievement of criteria
1 = Limited achievement of criteria
NA = Criteria not achieved at this time
CRITERIA (continued)

Provides evidence of evaluation of meeting partnership goals and proof of sustainability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

x3 = /15

Describes how evidence-based nursing and research were incorporated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

x2 = /10

Demonstrates measurable positive patient outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

x3 = /15

Points awarded = /100