

# Clinical Healthy Work Environment Award

#### PURPOSE

Recognizes and honors a clinical facility that demonstrates commitment to a healthy work environment, including physical and psychosocial factors, involvement in the community, and/or personal health resources to promote health in the work environment, and promoting excellence in healthcare outcomes.

## **ELIGIBILITY**

A program, project, or intervention implemented at the unit, department, or system level within any health system or clinical facility is eligible. One-time projects, programs, or interventions implemented by a single individual or research project would not be appropriate without a viable sustainability plan. Sigma membership is not required to apply. Current Sigma Board of Directors, Sigma elected international committee members, Sigma

staff, Sigma consultants and contracted staff, and members of the judging committee are not eligible to receive this award, serve as nominators, or write letters of support. Members of appointed committees may participate in the award process.

## CRITERIA

Utilizing the World Health Organization's <u>Healthy Workplace Framework and Model</u>, a nomination should address each of the following criteria:

- L. Address at least one of the following areas that define a healthy work environment. Review the WHO's framework and identify how the facility, organization, or department focused on one or several of the areas below:
  - a. Physical Work Environment
  - b. Psychosocial Work Environment
  - c. Enterprise Community Involvement
  - d. Personal Health Resources
- 2. Explain the process and development of a healthy work environment. This could include, but is not limited to, one of the following:
  - a. Creation and evaluation of new programs with demonstrated involvement of frontline healthcare workers, including nurses
  - b. Sustained programming addressing the healthcare workplace considering healthcare workers' identified needs
  - c. Results from employee satisfaction surveys indicating improvements in satisfaction over time
- **3.** Describe the evaluation of the intervention to provide evidence of positive patient outcomes. This could be demonstrated by:
  - a. Patient outcome reports
  - b. Patient satisfaction surveys done by a third party
  - c. Surveys of workers, preferably done by a third party
  - d. Attestation from facility staff members or patients
- 4. Outline the sustainability of the program/project/intervention. One-time projects, programs, or interventions implemented by a single individual or research project would not be appropriate without a viable sustainability plan.

## SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

Documents required below should address each of the above criteria. Entries that do not meet the criteria and submission requirements will be disqualified.

A complete nomination consists of:

- Online entry form and payment
- Descriptive summary from the nominator outlining the programming or interventions (1-2 pages)
- Two letters of support from members of the unit, facility administration, facility staff, etc. (cannot be from the nominator)
- Letter of Acceptance from a representative of the health system/facility accepting the nomination and agreeing to attend the award presentation
- Supporting documentation that provides additional descriptions and direct evidence of the award criteria, including results or reports from staff satisfaction surveys, patient satisfaction, or outcome reports (not to exceed 15 pages)

## NOMINATION TIMELINE

27 June –29 August 2024

#### ENTRY FEE

US \$50

The fee structure for nominations outside the US will be set according to World Bank classifications based on per-capita income designated as low, middle, and high.

NOTIFICATION

Award recipients will be notified in October 2024.

PRESENTATION

Award will be presented at Sigma's <u>Creating Healthy</u> <u>Work Environments</u> in Phoenix, Arizona, USA, 28-30 March 2025.

CONTACT US

For more information, contact Laura Belt.



# AWARDS RUBRIC

## Clinical Healthy Work Environment Award

RATING SCALE	-	-						following areas the following areas the following areas the following areas the following the following and the following areas the following area		a healthy work environment: Physical Work Environment,
5 = Outstanding achievement of	i sychosocial							x5	=	/25
criteria		5	4	3	2	1	N/A	Xe		,
4 = Notable achievement of							,			
criteria	Process & Development Explanation: Explain the process and development of a healthy work environment.									
3 = Adequate achievement of								x3	=	/15
criteria		5	4	3	2	1	N/A			
2 = Fair achievement of criteria	Evaluation: Describe the evaluation of the intervention to provide evidence of positive patient outcomes.									
								x3	=	/15
1 = Limited achievement of criteria		5	4	3	2	1	N/A			
N/A = Criteria not achieved at	Sustainability: Outline the sustainability of the program/project/intervention.									
this time								x5	=	/25
Please see pages 2 and 3 for		5	4	3	2	1	N/A			
more specific scoring			_							
descriptions.	Overall Applic	ability:	Demons	trate th	e organi		commitme	nt to a healthy wo	ork environ	
								x2	=	/10
		5	4	3	2	1	N/A			
	Overall Submission: Provide clear and creative ideas for the development of a healthy work environment.									
								x2	=	/10
		5	4	3	2	1	N/A			

Points awarded = Total points available = 100



## AWARDS RUBRIC

## Clinical Healthy Work Environment Award

Outstanding- 5	Adequate- 3	Limited- 1						
Defining healthy work environments: Address at least o	ne of the following areas that define a healthy work envi	ironment: Physical Work Environment, Psychosocial Wo	k Environment,					
Enterprise Community Involvement, or Personal Health Resources.								
One defined area of the World Health Organization (WHO)	One defined area of the World Health Organization (WHO)	Unable to determine whether any of the defined areas	x 5 = /25					
framework is clearly addressed in the program, project, or	framework is addressed in the program, project, or	area of the World Health Organization (WHO) framework						
intervention. Incorporation is clearly noted and discussed	intervention but clarity is lacking or there is not a tie from	were truly incorporated into the program, project, or						
within the submission for the defined area. Justifies choices	the defined area to the intervention. The defined area is	intervention. There is a lack of supporting details or						
made and aligns them with the overall program, project, or	explicitly stated and discussed within the submission but is	generalizations are made without specificity.						
intervention.	not well aligned with the overall project.							
Process & Development Explanation: Explain the process and development of a healthy work environment.								
Both the process and the development of the program,	Either the process or the development of the program,	Unclear ideas due to lack of supporting	x 3 = /15					
project, or intervention are clearly identified and	project, or intervention are poorly identified; however, at	details/generalizations/off-topic detail in relation to the						
explained. A clear, focused, and engaging process is	least one is discussed in the submission. Either the process	program, project, or intervention. No interprofessional						
defined utilizing nursing and/or interprofessional	or the development is clearly identified. Interprofessional	collaboration is discussed or identified.						
collaboration. Provides a detailed outline of the planning	engagement mentioned is applicable.							
and implementation necessary for successful								
implementation.								
Evaluation: Describe the evaluation of the intervention	to provide evidence of positive patient outcomes.							
Provides sophisticated evaluation of the program, project,	The evaluation process reflects some reliable methods or	The evaluation process is unclear, missing, or	x 3 = /15					
or intervention. The evaluation process reflects valid and	outcome measures; however, it is not clearly expressed.	inappropriate. Findings do or may not support a healthy						
reliable methods and outcomes measures. They are clearly	Findings have questionable implications for healthy work	work environment within the organization.						
expressed, and the findings have clear implications for a	environment applicability within the organization.							
healthy work environment within the organization.								
Sustainability: Outline the sustainability of the program	/project/intervention.							
The proposed program, project, or intervention provides a	The proposal does contain aspects of sustainability;	Noticeable portions of the submission fail to convey a	x 5 = /25					
creative, clear, and detailed idea for continued	however, it does not give full consideration to the entire	sustainability plan for the program, project, or						
sustainability. The proposal allows for creative adjustments	organization.	intervention. Few specifics are provided.						
as needed to refine the program based on past								
performance (e.g., continuous quality improvement).								



## AWARDS RUBRIC

Clinical Healthy Work Environment Award

Outstanding- 5	Adequate- 3	Limited- 1				
Overall Applicability						
The proposed program, project, or intervention clearly and appropriately demonstrates the organizational commitment to a healthy work environment (HWE). This proposal is in line with Sigma's HWE initiatives and conference.	The proposed program, project, or intervention is sound but lacks clear organization, direction, or connection to Sigma's healthy work environment initiatives or conference.	The proposal does not correspond with known healthy work environment initiatives from Sigma or other organizations.	x 2 = /10			
Overall Submission						
Provides clear and creative ideas for the development of a healthy work environment. The overall proposal uses skillful language; a varied, accurate vocabulary; and well- developed sentence structure.	Some problems with sentence structure, grammar, punctuation, and/or spelling are noted. The submission is somewhat difficult to read.	Submission does not flow. It does not provide a logical discussion of the overall healthy work environment topic. Incoherent structure; logic is unclear; paragraph transition is weak; difficult to understand; must re-read parts to figure out what is being said. Several issues in sentence structure, grammar, citation style, punctuation, spelling, and/or misused words are noted.	x2 = /10			
Total score (100 points possible)						



## Definitions of Award Submission Requirements

When submitting the documents required for your nomination, please categorize them using the following descriptions from the drop-down menu within the form on **Step #4: Upload Supporting Materials**. Only materials that meet the submission requirements will be considered.

Article: Newspaper, magazine, or other periodical that provides sample of media coverage and/or supports fulfillment of criteria.

Artwork: Published, displayed, printed, video, slides, or other multimedia format of art.

Audio: Music or other sound files (recorded interviews, presentations, speeches, etc.).

Brochure: A small booklet or pamphlet containing promotional material or program/product information.

Digital photo: An electronic photograph in JPG, GIF, or PNG format.

**Letter of acceptance**: A letter from the nominee(s) accepting the award nomination and agreeing to accept the award at Sigma's event if chosen as recipient.

Letter of support: A letter endorsing nomination in fulfillment of criteria.

**Other**: Any supporting material not covered by listed file upload types.

Publication: Books, periodicals, or other printed material.

**Report**: Formal account or analysis of program, project, or topic.

Screenshot: Electronic copy of computer window or screen contents.

Summary (criteria): A descriptive summary addressing the criteria and how the nominee(s) meets or exceeds the criteria.

Video: A recording of a movie, performance, television program, event, etc.

Website: A series of webpages on the World Wide Web indicated by a specific URL.

Questions? Contact awards@sigmanursing.org.



#### General

What is the awards website? <u>https://www.sigmanursing.org/advance-elevate/awards/healthy-work-environment-awards</u> Nominations are submitted through an online system: <u>https://stti-</u> <u>awards.confex.com/stti\_awards/cp2025/cfp.cgi</u>

## When is the awards deadline?

29 August 2024

#### How much does it cost to submit a nomination?

#### US \$50

The fee structure for nominations outside the US is set according to World Bank classifications based on per-capita income designated as low, middle, and high.

## How much does it cost for organizations outside of the US?

The fee structure for organizations outside the US is set according to <u>World Bank classifications</u> based on per capita income designated as low, middle, and high as defined by World Bank Basic Indicators table. All fees paid to Sigma, either by individual members or chapters, will be calculated in US currency. High Income = US \$50 Middle Income = US \$20 Low Income = US \$10

#### Is membership required to submit an entry?

Active Sigma membership is not required to submit an entry. A program, project, or intervention implemented at the unit, department, or system level within any health system or clinical facility is eligible. One-time projects, programs, or interventions implemented by a single individual or research project would not be appropriate without a viable sustainability plan.

## **Submitting a Nomination**

How do I submit a nomination for the 2023 Healthy Work Environment Awards? Nominations are submitted through an online system: <u>https://stti-</u> awards.confex.com/stti\_awards/cp2025/cfp.cgi

#### Is there a hard copy entry form?

No. All entries must be submitted through the online system. Entries mailed to Sigma will not be reviewed.

#### How do I start an online entry?

You can start an award nomination by clicking the "Submit an entry" button on the <u>Healthy Work</u> <u>Environment Awards</u> page. You will then select the award category and click "Submit an entry."

#### How do I nominate an organization?

To submit a group nomination, select the award and begin entering the nominator information. When asked to enter nominee information, select "group nominee." Be sure to include the name of the group being nominated (e.g., Nicklaus Children's Hospital, University of Virginia SON, etc.). You will need to enter at least one individual's name and email as the primary contact so Sigma can notify them after the review process. This can be the same as the nominator or another individual in the program. It is the primary contact's responsibility to communicate award information to other contributors.

#### I started a submission but had to stop. Can I return to the same entry?

Yes. Using your Entry ID (4-digit number) and Entry Password (6-digit number), you can log in to a nomination until the system closes on 29 August 2024 (11:59 p.m. Eastern Time).

#### What is my Entry ID and Entry Password?

The Entry ID and Entry Password are sent to the email address entered by the nominator when an entry is started. You can also view them in the top-left corner of the nomination page. Please contact <a href="mailto:awards@sigmanursing.org">awards@sigmanursing.org</a> if you have lost your Entry ID and Entry Password.

#### Can I view, edit, or withdraw my nomination once it is submitted?

Yes. You can view, edit, or withdraw your submission through the 29 August 2024 deadline.

I've withdrawn my entry but already paid. Can I get a refund? No. The entry fee is non-refundable.

#### What is the "descriptive summary"?

The descriptive summary is a 1- to 2-page summary, written by the nominator, describing how the program/project/intervention meets the award criteria. This is the nominator's opportunity to write a letter of support.

## Can I submit more than two "letters of support"?

No. The judges will only read two letters.

#### What is the "letter of acceptance"?

This is a statement from a representative of the nominated team/department/unit/system that they will attend Sigma's Creating Healthy Work Environments meeting in Phoenix, Arizona, USA, 28-30 March 2025.

#### What is the "supporting documentation"?

Any documents, reports, or summaries that provide direct evidence of meeting the award criteria are considered "supporting documentation." This includes faculty and/or staff satisfaction surveys, student achievement reports and satisfaction surveys, outcome reports, attestations, videos, writing samples, articles, photos, etc. This is an opportunity to expand on the content of the descriptive summary. Additional letters of support will not be considered.

#### What formats can be uploaded through the online system?

TXT - Plain Text HTML - Hyper Text Markup Language, web pages PDF - Adobe's Portable Document Format GIF, JPG, PNG - Formats commonly used on the web RTF - Rich text file (most word processors export this format) DOC - Microsoft Word WMV, WMA, MOV, WAV, AIFF - Multimedia formats

#### Can I pay by check?

No. All entries must submit payment through the online awards system using a credit card (Visa, Mastercard, Discover).

#### **Points of Contact**

#### Whom do I contact with questions about the award criteria or process?

Laura Belt, Awards Coordinator 888.634.7575 (US/Canada toll-free) +1.317.634.8171 (International) Email: <u>awards@sigmanursing.org</u>

#### Whom do I contact if I have problems with the online system?

Confex Technical Support Call +1.401.334.0220 between 8:30 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday–Friday Email: <u>stti\_awards@confex.com</u>